


**IRIC-CIRIC**  
Institute for Information Technology

**E-Learning 2.0 – Platform, Not Medium**

**Stephen Downes**  
National Research Council Canada  
June 9, 2006

 National Research Council Canada  
Conseil national de recherches Canada

**Canada**



**IRIC-CIRIC**  
Institute for Information Technology

**1. The Network**

**IRIC-CIRIC**  
Institute for Information Technology

**Two Models of Online Environments**


- **Centralized**
- **Distributed**

**IRIC-CIRIC**  
Institute for Information Technology


**Centralized Environments**

- Before the web, the centralized model was all we had (examples include CompuServe, Prodigy)
- On the web, centralized models include site-based services such as Yahoo!
- Most (all?) college and university services are offered using the centralized model
- But centralized environments are static, inflexible, expensive



## Distributed Environments

- The World Wide Web is an example of a distributed environment
- Resources, access are *not* centralized, but scattered around the world:
  - Resources, in the form of a network of connected (via DNS) web servers
  - Access, in the form of a network of connected (via DNS) internet service providers
  - Users, in the form of individualized and connected (via HTTP) web browsers
- The big issue – integration – that is, making different systems work together



## Integration

- Many ways to do integration – some are more centralized than others
- Scott Wilson – Service Oriented Frameworks for E-Learning [http://standards.edna.edu.au/idea/summer2005/ppi/OTF20050209\\_scottwilson.ppt](http://standards.edna.edu.au/idea/summer2005/ppi/OTF20050209_scottwilson.ppt)
- Two views of integration: <http://www.cetis.ac.uk/content2/20050124115817>
  - Service Oriented – ie., commonly defined services – use APIs (Application Program Interfaces)
  - Client Oriented – ie., distributed applications – use client applications (eg. Plugins)
- Gets complex in a hurry – which is why so many prefer the control of a centralized approach




## Sensible Design Principles

- The analysis of customer needs has to concentrate on practical uses that are likely to become everyday routines
- The development of a new technology must be based on well-defined, carefully selected core principles
- Real experiences in real networks must be continuously taken into account.

[http://www.firstmonday.org/issue/issue10\\_1/aiikv/](http://www.firstmonday.org/issue/issue10_1/aiikv/)

- Or, as the author concludes: "As to the list of core principles, simplicity and realism are essential."



## 2. Design Principles

### Network Design Principles

- Specifies how networks differ from traditional learning
- The idea is that each principle confers an *advantage* over non-network systems
- Can be used as a means of evaluating new technology

### 1. Decentralize

- Centralized networks have a characteristic 'star' shape
  - Some entities have many connections
  - The vast majority have few
  - Eg., broadcast network, teacher in a classroom
- Decentralized networks form a mesh
  - The weight of connections, flow is distributed
  - Balanced load = more stable
  - Foster connections between entities, 'fill out' the star

### 2. Distribute

- Network entities reside in different physical locations
  - Reduces risk of network failure
  - Reduces need for major infrastructure, such as powerful servers, large bandwidth, massive storage
- Examples:
  - Peer-to-peer networks, such as Kazaa, Gnutella
  - Content syndication networks, such as RSS
- Emphasis is on *sharing*, not copying
  - 'Local' copies are temporary

### 3. Disintermediate

- Mediation – barrier between source and receiver
- Examples:
  - Editors, peer review prior to publication
  - Traditional media, broadcasters
  - Teachers between knowledge and student
- Where possible, provide direct access
  - The purpose of mediation is to manage flow, not information
  - It is to reduce the volume of information, not the type of information

#### 4. Disaggregate

- Units of content should be as small as possible
  - Content should not be 'bundled'
  - Organization, structure created by receiver
  - Allows integration of new information with old
- This is the idea behind learning objects
  - smallest possible unit of instruction
  - Assembling into pre-packaged 'courses' defeats this

#### 5. Dis-integrate

- Entities in a network are not 'components' of one another
  - Thus., eg. Plug-ins or required software to be avoided
- The structure of the message is logically distinct from the type of entity sending or receiving it
  - The message is coded in a common 'language'
  - This code is open, not proprietary
  - No particular software or device is needed to receive the code
- This is the idea of standards, but:
  - Standards are not created, they evolve
  - Standards adopted by agreement, not requirement

#### 6. Democratize

- Entities in a network are autonomous
  - Have the freedom to negotiate connections
  - Have the freedom to send, receive information
- Diversity is an asset
  - Diversity confers flexibility, adaptation
  - Diversity enables the network as a whole to represent more than just the part
- Control is Impossible
  - Even where control seems desirable, it is not practical
  - Creating control effectively destroys the network

#### 7. Dynamize

- A network is a fluid, changing entity
  - Without change, growth, adaptation are not possible
  - It is through the process of change that new knowledge is discovered
- The creation of connections is a core function

**8. Desegregate**

- Example: Learning is not a Separate Domain
  - Do not need learning-specific tools, processes
  - Learning is a *part* of living, of work, of play
  - The *same* tools we use to perform day-to-day activities are the tools we use to learn
- The Network as Infrastructure
  - Computing, communicating, not something we 'go some place to do'
  - The idea of network resources as a utility, like electricity, like water, like telephones – the network is everywhere

### 3. The Tools

**The Net Generation creates its own media...**

*Identity Production in a Networked Culture: Why Youth Heart MySpace ... Danah Boyd*  
<http://www.danah.org/papers/AAAS2006.html>

"The dynamics of identity production play out visibly on MySpace. Profiles are digital bodies, public displays of identity where people can explore impression management."



**Blogs and Wikis**

"Never have so many people written so much to be read by so few..."  
 -- Katie Hafner NY Times.

[Blogger](#) - [Live Journal](#) - [Movable Type](#) - [WordPress](#)  
[Educational Blogging](#) – article  
[Educational Weblogs](#) - [Edublogs.org](#)

[Wikipedia](#) – as [compared to Britannica by Nature](#)



**Photos, Podcasting and Vodcasting**

[Flickr](#)  
[Podcasting](#) - wikipedia  
[iPodder](#) - [Odeo](#) –  
[Liberated Syndication](#)

[Youtube](#) - video

Podcasting in Learning  
[Ed Tech Talk](#) - [Ed Tech Posse](#) - [FLOSSE Posse](#)  
[Bob Sprankle](#) - [Education Podcast Network](#)




**From LMS to PLE**

“Personal Learning Environments are systems that help learners take control of and manage their own learning. This includes providing support for learners to:

- \* set their own learning goals
- \* manage their learning; managing both content and process
- \* communicate with others in the process of learning and thereby achieve learning goals.”

From JITT.  
[http://octette.cs.man.ac.uk/jitt/index.php/Personal\\_Learning\\_Environments](http://octette.cs.man.ac.uk/jitt/index.php/Personal_Learning_Environments)

**The Classic Diagram...**



Scott Wilson.  
[http://octette.cs.man.ac.uk/jitt/images/b/ba/Wilson\\_future\\_PLE.jpg](http://octette.cs.man.ac.uk/jitt/images/b/ba/Wilson_future_PLE.jpg)

**My Own Approach (1): MyGlu**



<http://www.downes.ca/mygluframe.htm>

**My Own Approach (2): RSS Writr**

<http://www.downes.ca/editor/writr.htm>

**E-Learning 2.0**

“The model of e-learning as being a type of content, produced by publishers, organized and structured into courses, and consumed by students, is turned on its head. Insofar as there is content, it is used rather than read— and is, in any case, more likely to be produced by students than courseware authors. And insofar as there is structure, it is more likely to resemble a language or a conversation rather than a book or a manual.”

Stephen Downes  
<http://elearnmag.org/subpage.cfm?section=articles&article=29-1>

**Some Advantages of PLEs**

Persistence

“The reflective posting of a blog are a digital record of the learning process. They can be an integral part of the lifelong learning accomplishment and e-portfolio of the learner. They should not disappear at the end of a course.”

Terry Anderson <http://terrya.edublogs.org/2006/01/09/ples-versus-lms-are-ples-ready-for-prime-time/>

**Some Advantages of PLEs (cont)...**

Identity

“Learners have existences beyond formal school, that can be used to both help learners contextualize their own understanding and for others to understand their epistemological legacy. The PLE tools integrate this outside life with formal study.”

Terry Anderson <http://terrya.edublogs.org/2006/01/09/ples-versus-lms-are-ples-ready-for-prime-time/>

**My Own Approach (3): mIDM**

The diagram illustrates the mIDM process with the following steps:

1. Initial Request
2. Browser informed to contact CME server with access key
3. Browser sends key to personal CME server
4. CME Server instructs user back to original server
5. User returns to site, opening servers request to CME server
6. Server requests the key from the CME server, can't -> repeat additional info
7. User tries to access

Result: user self-identified to new server without having to create new account or login

License plates  
Telephone  
ATM

<http://www.downes.ca/midm.htm>

**Some Advantages of PLEs (cont)...**

"Copyright and re-use: Contributions to a PLE are very definitely owned by the learner and thus can be used and re-used as that owner sees fit." - Terry Anderson.

More and more, we will see students – and not instructors or publishers – authoring learning resources.

**My Own Approach (3): DDRM**

The screenshot shows the 'Rights' section of the eduSource LOM Tagger. The 'Description' field contains the following text:

Free Gateway  
Demo 18 Views  
Fan Duster Special  
Full Photo  
CC Attribute Share Alike

Copyright National Research Council Canada 2004 - Stephen Downes@nrc.gc.ca  
Licensing Contributors

<http://www.downes.ca/dwiki/?id=DDRM>

**Whence the PLE?**

"Why do we need a PLE when we already have the Internet? The Internet is my PLE, ePortfolio, VLE what ever. Thanks to blogger, bloglines, flickr, delicious, wikispaces, ourmedia, creative commons, and what ever comes next in this new Internet age, I have a strong online ID and very extensive and personalised learning environment."

Leigh Blackall

<http://teachandlearnonline.blogspot.com/2005/11/die-lms-die-you-too-ple.html>

## The PLE: An approach, not an application

Watch for the evolution of read/write applications

Similar to Web 2.0™ applications

Similar also to Windows Vista

The students' application need not be a learning application

Eg. More like an email client than a learning client

## Attending to the 'Read' Part...

Resource Profiles

[http://www.downes.ca/files/resource\\_profiles.htm](http://www.downes.ca/files/resource_profiles.htm)

1<sup>st</sup> Party Metadata: Bibliographical

2<sup>nd</sup> Party Metadata: Usage

3<sup>rd</sup> Party Metadata: Commentary

Also: microformats

<http://microformats.org/>

## The Semantic Social Network

Learning = Participation in a Community

The Learning Environment is the work environment  
(or the community environment)

Resource production, selection and referral via  
more experienced members of the community

'Schoolwork' = Work in real life

Stephen Downes: <http://www.downes.ca/cgi-bin/page.cgi?post=46>

## 4. Semantics

### Network Semantics

- How Meaning is Created in Networks
- Tells us how people learn using networks
- Tells us how networks create new knowledge

### Elements of Network Semantics


- Context
  - Localization of entities in a network
  - Each context is unique – entities see the network differently, experience the world differently
  - Context is required in order to *interpret* signals
- Salience
  - The relevance or importance of a message = the similarity between one pattern of connectivity and another
  - Meaning is created from context and messages via salience
  - In other words: knowledge is *shared understanding* (and not *copied* understanding)

### Elements of Network Semantics (2)

- Emergence
  - The development of patterns in the network
  - A process of resonance, synchronicity, not creation
  - Example: commonalities in patterns of perception
  - Requires an *interpretation* to be *recognized*
- Memory
  - Persistence of patterns of connectivity
- Other elements: stability, weighting...

### Connectivism: Network Pedagogy

- Think of as 'Network Pragmatics'
- Deals with how to use networks to support learning
- Embraces the idea of 'distributed knowledge'
  - Example: knowing how to build a 747
  - 'I store my knowledge in my friends'
  - Recognizes explicitly that what we 'know' is *embedded* in our network of connections to each other, to resources, to the world
- George Siemens  
<http://www.elearnspace.org/Articles/connectivism.htm>



**ARC CIRC**  
Institute for  
Information  
Technology

**Principles of Connectivism**

- Learning is a process of connecting entities
- Nurturing and maintaining connections is needed to facilitate continual learning.
- Ability to see connections between fields, ideas, and concepts is a core skill.
- Capacity to know more is more critical than what is currently known
- Decision-making is itself a learning process



**ARC CIRC**  
Institute for  
Information  
Technology

<http://www.downes.ca>

Science  
— at work for —  
Canada

 National Research  
Council Canada    Conseil national  
de recherches Canada

**Canada**